

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

DR

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 6084			
09/927,334		08/13/2001	Michael L. Boyer II	8932-543				
20582	7590	01/13/2004	EXAMINER					
JONES DA	Υ		PREBILIC, PAUL B					
51 Louisian WASHING		N.W C 20001-2113	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER				
				3738				
				DATE MAILED: 01/13/200	4 15			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					•			
		Ap	pplication No.	A	pplicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			9/927,334	В	OYER ET AL.			
			aminer	Α	rt Unit			
			ul B. Prebilic		738			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appear	s on the cover sheet w	ith the corr	espondence add	dress		
THE   - External after - If the - If NC - Failur - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). unication. o) days, a reply with tutory period will ap will, by statute, caus	In no event, however, may a on the statutory minimum of thir ply and will expire SIX (6) MON the the application to become Al	reply be timely rty (30) days wil NTHS from the BANDONED (3	filed  I be considered timely mailing date of this cousting the country of the co			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>29 Octot</u>	<u>oer 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2	b)∐ This acti	on is non-final.		•			
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7 and 27-34 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 8-26 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>								
Applicat	ion Papers							
10) 11)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted	ving(s) be held in abeya s required if the drawing	nce. See 37 g(s) is object	7 CFR 1.85(a). ed to. See 37 CF			
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	for foreign pri	ority under 25 U.S.C.	£ 110(a) (	4) or (f)			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>								
1	or or other man monaded in the mot sent	5.100 OF AIO 3	Joseph of III all A	ppiiodiioii L	Jaka Onook Of	J. 13 1.1 V.		
Attachmen								
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pi mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa				O-413) Paper No(s nt Application (PTC			

Art Unit: 3738

### Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-7 and 27-34 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 11 filed May 8, 2003.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 8-20 and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With regard to claims 8-17, 19, 20, and 22-25, there is no antecedent basis for the third width (see page 15, line 31 to page 16, line 12) which only sets forth two widths. It is unclear what feature of the disclosed invention corresponds to the third width as now claimed.

With regard to claim 18, it is not clear where the "edges extending transverse to a central longitudinal axis" has antecedent basis from the specification in that it is not clear what feature of the disclosed invention corresponds to this feature.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 3738

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Boyd et al. (US 6,206,923). Boyd anticipates the claim language where the first width as claimed is in the ends with ridges (18, 19, 20, 21); see Figure 1 as well as column 2, lines 13-27, column 3, lines 21-59 and column 6, lines 20-46. The edges as claimed are the ridges closest to the intermediate portions (16) of Boyd. The term "substantially" on line 3 of the claim is considered to be a broad term that does not distinguish Boyd's ridges from the non-ridges sections thereof.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sybert et al. (US 2002/0107570) where the first width is at the ends and the second width is in the middle portion of Sybert's device; see Figures 1b and 1c as well as paragraphs 33, 38, 39, and 43. It is noted that the ends are demineralized and can be said to be transverse to the central longitudinal axis to the extent required. Figure 1c at least discloses a body that is "generally dogbone shaped" as claimed because the word "generally" makes the terminology quite broad in scope.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/927,334

Art Unit: 3738

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boyd et al (US 6,206,923) in view of Tormala et al (US 5,084,051). Boyd meets the claim language as set forth in the Section 102 rejection above but fails to disclose slits in the first width region as claimed. However, Tormala teaches that it was known to put slits in similar bone implants to facilitate flexing in the rigid portions of the implant; see Figure 3 and column 10, lines 44-54. Therefore, it is the Examiner's position that it would have been prima fascia obvious to put slits in the Boyd first width portions in order to facilitate flexing in those regions as taught by Tormala.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8-17, 19, 20, and 22-25 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed October 28, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In particular, the arguments have been persuasive with respect to the claims dependent upon claim 8. The other claims have been rejected with new rejections, which address the arguments set forth in the response. Applicants are respectfully requested to refer to the rejections to see how the claims are being interpreted.

Application/Control Number: 09/927,334

Art Unit: 3738

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Applicant should specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure, including the claims (MPEP 714.02 and 2163.06). Due to the procedure outlined in MPEP 2163.06 for interpreting claims, it is noted that other art may be applicable under 35 USC 102 of 35 USC 103(a) once the aforementioned issue(s) is/are addressed.

Applicant is respectfully requested to provide a list of all copending applications that set forth similar subject matter to the present claims. A copy of such copending claims is respectfully requested in response to this Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Prebilic whose telephone number is (703) 308-2905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/927,334

Art Unit: 3738

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott, can be reached on (703) 308-2111. The fax phone number for this Technology Center is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 3700 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Paul Prebilic Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3738